

Nonlocal scalar electrodynamics from Chern-Simons theory

Qiong-gui Lin*

Department of Physics, Zhongshan University, Guangzhou 510275,

People's Republic of China[†]

and

China Center of Advanced Science and Technology (World Laboratory),

P.O.Box 8730, Beijing 100080, People's Republic of China

The theory of a complex scalar interacting with a pure Chern-Simons gauge field is quantized canonically. Dynamical and nondynamical variables are separated in a gauge-independent way. In the physical subspace of the full Hilbert space, this theory reduces to a pure scalar theory with nonlocal interaction. Several scattering processes are studied and the cross sections are calculated.

PACS number(s): 11.15.-q, 11.10.Ef, 11.10.Lm

*Electronic address: stdp@zsu.edu.cn

[†]Mailing address

Chern-Simons theories were widely studied in recent years. It has become familiar to both field theorists and condensed matter physicists in these days. To field theorists, of particular interest is the Chern-Simons theory without a Maxwell term [1]. In this field of investigation, much attention has been focused on classical soliton solutions [2-6] and the problem of fractional spin and exotic statistics [7,8]. To our knowledge the quantum processes of this theory has not been studied in the manner presented here. We quantize the theory by Dirac's method [9]. By using some appropriate canonical transformations, dynamical and nondynamical degrees of freedom are separated in a gauge-independent way [10]. After quantization, this theory naturally reduces to a pure scalar theory with nonlocal interaction in the physical subspace of the full Hilbert space. On the basis of this argument, several two-body scattering processes are studied and the corresponding cross sections are obtained.

Let us begin with the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = (D_\mu \varphi)^* D^\mu \varphi - m^2 \varphi^* \varphi + \frac{\kappa}{2} \epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu} A_\lambda \partial_\mu A_\nu \quad (1)$$

where $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + ieA_\mu$. It describes a massive complex scalar field φ with mass m interacting with a Chern-Simons gauge field A_μ . The canonical momenta are given by

$$\pi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}} = (D_0 \varphi)^*, \quad \pi^* = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\varphi}^*} = D_0 \varphi, \quad (2a)$$

$$\pi_0 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{A}^0} = 0, \quad \pi_i = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{A}^i} = -\frac{\kappa}{2} \epsilon_{ij} A_j. \quad (2b)$$

The nonvanishing Poisson brackets among the canonical variables read

$$\{\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t), \pi(\mathbf{y}, t)\} = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad \{\varphi^*(\mathbf{x}, t), \pi^*(\mathbf{y}, t)\} = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad (3a)$$

$$\{A_\mu(\mathbf{x}, t), \pi_\nu(\mathbf{y}, t)\} = g_{\mu\nu} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}). \quad (3b)$$

Eqs.(2b) give the following primary constraints.

$$\pi_0(x) \approx 0, \quad (4a)$$

$$\chi_i(x) \equiv \pi_i(x) + \frac{\kappa}{2}\epsilon_{ij}A_j(x) \approx 0. \quad (4b)$$

After dropping some surface terms and taking Eqs.(4) into account, the canonical Hamiltonian takes the form

$$H_c = \int d\mathbf{x} [\pi^*\pi + (D_i\varphi)^*D_i\varphi + m^2\varphi^*\varphi + A_0(\rho + \kappa B) + \lambda_0\pi_0 + \lambda_i\chi_i] \quad (5)$$

where $\rho = ie(\pi^*\varphi^* - \pi\varphi)$ and $B = -\epsilon_{ij}\partial_i A_j$ are the charge density and magnetic field, respectively, and λ_0 and λ_i are Lagrange multipliers which may be functions of the canonical variables. The consistency conditions $\dot{\pi}_0 \approx 0$ and $\dot{\chi}_i \approx 0$ lead to

$$\xi(x) \equiv \rho(x) + \kappa B(x) \approx 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\lambda_i(x) = -\partial_i A_0(x) + \frac{1}{\kappa}\epsilon_{ik}J_k(x). \quad (7)$$

Eq.(6) is a secondary constraint while Eq.(7) gives λ_i in terms of the canonical variables. In Eq.(7) J_k are the spatial components of the conserved current

$$J_\mu = ie[\varphi^*D_\mu\varphi - \varphi(D_\mu\varphi)^*] \quad (8)$$

whose time component $J_0 = \rho$ has been mentioned above. The further consistency condition $\dot{\xi} \approx 0$ yields

$$\kappa\epsilon_{ij}\partial_i\lambda_j + \partial_i J_i = 0 \quad (9)$$

which, on account of (7), is satisfied. Thus Dirac's algorithm ends and all the constraints are given by (4) and (6). Eq.(4a) is obviously a first-class constraint, while (4b) and (7), though at first sight are all of second class, can be linearly combined to give a first-class one [11]:

$$\tilde{\xi}(x) = \xi(x) + \partial_i\chi_i(x). \quad (10)$$

Eq.(4b) is really of second class. It is easy to show that

$$C_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \equiv \{\chi_i(\mathbf{x}, t), \chi_j(\mathbf{y}, t)\} = -\kappa\epsilon_{ij}\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad (11a)$$

$$C_{ij}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{\kappa} \epsilon_{ij} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}). \quad (11b)$$

Following the standard definition the nonvanishing Dirac brackets can be shown to be

$$\{\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t), \pi(\mathbf{y}, t)\}^* = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad \{\varphi^*(\mathbf{x}, t), \pi^*(\mathbf{y}, t)\}^* = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad (12a)$$

$$\{A_0(\mathbf{x}, t), \pi_0(\mathbf{y}, t)\}^* = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad (12b)$$

which are simply the same as the Poisson ones and

$$\{A_i(\mathbf{x}, t), A_j(\mathbf{y}, t)\}^* = \frac{1}{\kappa} \epsilon_{ij} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}). \quad (12c)$$

Also nonvanishing are $\{A_i(\mathbf{x}, t), \pi_j(\mathbf{y}, t)\}^*$ and $\{\pi_i(\mathbf{x}, t), \pi_j(\mathbf{y}, t)\}^*$ which are not useful henceforce and are thus not given here. After the Poisson brackets are replaced by the Dirac ones, Eq.(4b) becomes a strong equation and thus $\tilde{\xi}(x) = \xi(x)$. The total Hamiltonian involves all the first-class constraints (primary and secondary) and takes the form

$$H_T = \int d\mathbf{x} [\pi^* \pi + (D_i \varphi)^* D_i \varphi + m^2 \varphi^* \varphi + \lambda_0 \pi_0 + \lambda \xi], \quad (13)$$

where we have included the A_0 appearing in Eq.(5) in the Lagrange multiplier λ . The equation of motion for any canonical variable g reads

$$\dot{g} = \{g, H_T\}^*. \quad (14)$$

This can also be obtained via variation from the first-order Lagrangian

$$L = \int d\mathbf{x} \left[\pi \dot{\varphi} + \pi^* \dot{\varphi}^* + \frac{\kappa}{2} \epsilon_{ij} A_j \dot{A}_i + \pi_0 \dot{A}_0 \right] - H_T. \quad (15)$$

Variations of L with respect to λ_0 and λ lead to the two first-class constraints. From (15) we see that the canonical pairs are (φ, π) , (φ^*, π^*) , (A_0, π_0) , and $(A_1, \kappa A_2)$. The last one is not convenient. Thus we decompose A_i into its longitudinal and transverse components as

$$A_i = A_i^L + A_i^T \equiv \partial_i \omega + \epsilon_{ij} \partial_j \eta. \quad (16)$$

It is easy to show that $\nabla^2\eta = B$, and the only nonvanishing Dirac brackets among $\omega(x)$ and $B(x)$ is

$$\{\omega(\mathbf{x}, t), \kappa B(\mathbf{y}, t)\}^* = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}). \quad (17)$$

Substituting (16) into (15) and dropping some surface terms we have

$$L = \int d\mathbf{x} [\pi\dot{\phi} + \pi^*\dot{\phi}^* + \kappa B\dot{\omega} + \pi_0\dot{A}_0] - H_T \quad (18)$$

where A_i in H_T is expressed in ω and B by using the relation

$$A_i^T(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int d\mathbf{y} \epsilon_{ij} \partial_j G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) B(\mathbf{y}, t) \quad (19)$$

where the partial derivative ∂_j is with respect to $\mathbf{x}$ and the Green function $G(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln |\mathbf{x}|$ satisfies $\nabla^2 G(\mathbf{x}) = \delta(\mathbf{x})$. Now the canonical pair $(A_1, \kappa A_2)$ is replaced by $(\omega, \kappa B)$. We further make the canonical transformation [10]

$$\varphi = \exp(-ie\omega)\phi, \quad \pi = \exp(ie\omega)\Pi, \quad (20a)$$

$$\varphi^* = \exp(ie\omega)\phi^*, \quad \pi^* = \exp(-ie\omega)\Pi^*, \quad (20b)$$

then the Lagrangian becomes

$$L = \int d\mathbf{x} [\Pi\dot{\phi} + \Pi^*\dot{\phi}^* + \xi\dot{\omega} + \pi_0\dot{A}_0] - H_T \quad (21)$$

where

$$H_T = \int d\mathbf{x} [\Pi^*\Pi + (D_i^T\phi)^* D_i^T\phi + m^2\phi^*\phi + \lambda_0\pi_0 + \lambda\xi] \quad (22a)$$

where $D_i^T = \partial_i + ieA_i^T$ and

$$A_i^T(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{1}{\kappa} \int d\mathbf{y} \epsilon_{ij} \partial_j G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) [\xi(\mathbf{y}, t) - \rho(\mathbf{y}, t)] \quad (23)$$

where $\rho = ie[\Pi^*\phi^* - \Pi\phi]$. It is easy to show that the only nonvanishing Dirac brackets among the new canonical variables are

$$\{\phi(\mathbf{x}, t), \Pi(\mathbf{y}, t)\}^* = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad \{\phi^*(\mathbf{x}, t), \Pi^*(\mathbf{y}, t)\}^* = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad (24a)$$

$$\{A_0(\mathbf{x}, t), \pi_0(\mathbf{y}, t)\}^* = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad (24b)$$

$$\{\omega(\mathbf{x}, t), \xi(\mathbf{y}, t)\}^* = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}). \quad (24c)$$

Therefore the new canonical pairs are (ϕ, Π) , (ϕ^*, Π^*) , (A_0, π_0) , and (ω, ξ) . It is remarkable that the first-class constraint ξ becomes a canonical momentum. Denote $\Phi = (\phi, \Pi, \phi^*, \Pi^*)$. Since Φ have vanishing Dirac brackets with ξ , they are gauge invariant (under time-independent gauge transformations). One easily realizes that A_0 and ω are not dynamical variables since their conjugate momentums vanish. By redefining λ in the Hamiltonian (22a) Eq.(23) can be replaced by

$$A_i^T(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\frac{1}{\kappa} \int d\mathbf{y} \epsilon_{ij} \partial_j G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \rho(\mathbf{y}, t). \quad (22b)$$

We are now in a position to quantize the theory described by (22) and (24). This is accomplished by promoting the Dirac brackets to commutators. Thus (24a), say, becomes

$$[\phi(\mathbf{x}, t), \Pi(\mathbf{y}, t)] = i\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), [\phi^\dagger(\mathbf{x}, t), \Pi^\dagger(\mathbf{y}, t)] = i\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \quad (25)$$

after quantization. Here $\phi^\dagger$ is the Hermitian conjugate of ϕ , replacing the complex conjugate ϕ^* in the classical theory. Meanwhile the equation of motion for any canonical variable g is changed to

$$i\dot{g} = [g, H_T], \quad (26)$$

where H_T involves ordering ambiguity in the quantized theory and should be handled carefully. To complete the story of quantization, the two first-class constraints are realized as weak equations at the quantum level:

$$\pi_0(x)|\text{phys}\rangle = 0, \xi(x)|\text{phys}\rangle = 0 \quad (27)$$

where $|\text{phys}\rangle$ represents a physical state.

We emphasize that in the quantum theory it is sufficient to deal with the system described by (22). There is no need to go back to the original one described by (13). It also seems that ϕ etc. are more “physical” than φ etc. since the former are gauge invariant.

Any physical quantity F should be independent of A_0 and ω since they are pure gauge variables. If F depends on π_0 and ξ (such as the Hamiltonian), it has in general the form $F(\Phi, \pi_0, \xi)$. Since π_0 and ξ commute with Φ , and a physical state satisfies (27), one always has

$$F(\Phi, \pi_0, \xi)|\text{phys}\rangle = F(\Phi, 0, 0)|\text{phys}\rangle. \quad (28)$$

Thus in the physical subspace of the full Hilbert space, π_0 and ξ , when involved in any physical quantity, can be identically set to zero. Therefore only Φ are physically relevant. As the time evolution of Φ is independent of the last two terms in (22a), these two terms can be dropped. Then the theory described by (22) and (25) reduces in the physical subspace to a pure scalar theory with nonlocal interaction.

Physical states of the theory can be constructed from canonical variables and the vacuum state $|0\rangle$ satisfying (27):

$$\pi_0(x)|0\rangle = 0, \xi(x)|0\rangle = 0. \quad (29)$$

This implies that the vacuum state is independent of A_0 and ω , which seems quite natural. Since Φ commute with π_0 and ξ , any state generated by operating Φ or functions of them on $|0\rangle$ automatically satisfies (27) and is thus physical. On the other hand, operating ω or A_0 on $|0\rangle$ can not generate a physical state since it fails to satisfy (27). In other words, physical states can only be generated by Φ and their functions. This further confirms the statement that only Φ are physically relevant.

In the following we decompose H_T into two parts:

$$H_T = H_0 + H \quad (30a)$$

where

$$H_0 = \int d\mathbf{x} : [\Pi^\dagger \Pi + \partial_i \phi^\dagger \partial_i \phi + m^2 \phi^\dagger \phi] :, \quad (30b)$$

$$H = \int d\mathbf{x} : [ie(\partial_i \phi^\dagger A_i^T \phi - \phi^\dagger A_i^T \partial_i \phi) + e^2 \phi^\dagger A_i^T A_i^T \phi] :. \quad (30c)$$

H_0 and H are respectively the free Hamiltonian and the interacting Hamiltonian. H involves ordering ambiguity and we have adopted the normal-ordering prescription denoted by colons, which will become clear in the interaction picture (see below). Normal ordering of H_0 is not necessitated by ordering ambiguity. It is just employed to discard the zero-point energy. In Eq.(30) A_i^T is given by (22b) with

$$\rho = ie : [\Pi^\dagger \phi^\dagger - \Pi \phi] : \quad (31)$$

such that ρ as well as A_i^T is Hermitian. Normal ordering of ρ also act to remove a zero-point charge.

After making the decomposition (30), we go to the interaction picture (see for example Ref.[12]) where ϕ , H etc. are transformed to ϕ_I , H_I etc.. In the following we work in the interaction picture but omit the subscript I . In this picture the commutation relation (25) remains unchanged while the time evolution of a canonical variable g and a physical state $|\psi\rangle$ are governed by

$$i\dot{g} = [g, H_0], \quad (32)$$

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\psi\rangle = H|\psi\rangle. \quad (33)$$

From (32) and (30b) we have

$$\Pi = \dot{\phi}^\dagger, \quad \Pi^\dagger = \dot{\phi}, \quad (34a)$$

$$(\partial_\mu^2 + m^2)\phi = 0, \quad (\partial_\mu^2 + m^2)\phi^\dagger = 0. \quad (34b)$$

Thus both ϕ and $\phi^\dagger$ obey the Klein-Gordon equation, and we have the following expansions.

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k_0 V}} [a_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-ik \cdot x} + b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger e^{ik \cdot x}], \quad (35a)$$

$$\Pi(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} i \sqrt{\frac{k_0}{2V}} [a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger e^{ik \cdot x} - b_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-ik \cdot x}] \quad (35b)$$

where V is a two-dimensional normalization volume and similar ones for $\phi^\dagger$ and $\Pi^\dagger$. In (35)

$$k_0 = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + m^2}. \quad (36)$$

Using Eq.(25), one can show that the nonvanishing commutators among $a_{\mathbf{k}}$, $b_{\mathbf{k}}$ etc. are given by

$$[a_{\mathbf{k}}, a_{\mathbf{l}}^\dagger] = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{l}}, \quad [b_{\mathbf{k}}, b_{\mathbf{l}}^\dagger] = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{l}}. \quad (37)$$

The vacuum state is defined by

$$a_{\mathbf{k}}|0\rangle = b_{\mathbf{k}}|0\rangle = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{k} \quad (38)$$

in addition to be independent of A_0 and ω . Then it can be shown that both $a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger|0\rangle$ and $b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger|0\rangle$ are one-particle states carrying momentum $\mathbf{k}$ and energy k_0 . The former carries charge e while the latter carries $-e$. Thus $a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$ may be regarded as the creation operator of a particle while $b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$ of an antiparticle. From (33), it can be shown that the amplitude of transition for the system from an initial state $|i\rangle$ at $t = -\infty$ to a final one $|f\rangle$ at $t = +\infty$ is

$$A_{fi} = \langle f|S|i\rangle \quad (39)$$

where S is the S -matrix given by

$$S = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S^{(n)}, \quad (40a)$$

$$S^{(n)} = \frac{(-i)^n}{n!} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt' dt'' \cdots dt^{(n)} T[H(t')H(t'') \cdots H(t^{(n)})]. \quad (40b)$$

The lowest-order contribution to scattering processes comes from $S^{(1)}$, and for two-body scattering the last term in (30c) does not contribute (to this order). Thus for two-body scattering we have

$$S = e \int d^3x : (\partial_i \phi^\dagger A_i^T \phi - \phi^\dagger A_i^T \partial_i \phi) : \quad (41)$$

to the lowest order.

We are now equipped to calculate the scattering amplitudes and cross sections for several two-body scattering processes. Assuming that the two particles have initial three-momenta k, l and final ones p, q . The amplitude will in general has the form

$$A_{fi} = (2\pi)^3 V^{-2} \delta(p + q - k - l) R(p, q, k, l) \quad (42)$$

where the function $R(p, q, k, l)$ depends on the particular process. By the method similar to that used in QED [12], the cross section (in two spatial dimensions it may be more appropriately called the cross width) can be shown to be

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{2\pi |\mathbf{k}/k_0 - \mathbf{l}/l_0|} \int d\theta \frac{p_0 |R|^2}{\partial(p_0 + q_0)/\partial p_0} \quad (43)$$

where θ is the angle between $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{k}$. This is subject to the condition

$$\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{l} \quad (44a)$$

by which q_0 depends on p_0 (or $|\mathbf{p}|$) and θ . In evaluating the partial derivative $\partial q_0/\partial p_0$, θ is treated as a constant. Finally the result (43) is still subject to the additional condition

$$p_0 + q_0 = k_0 + l_0 \quad (44b)$$

which, together with (44a), exhibit the conservation of the total momentum and energy.

For particle-particle scattering, we have

$$|i\rangle = a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{l}}^\dagger |0\rangle, \quad |f\rangle = a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger |0\rangle. \quad (45)$$

The amplitude is given by (42) with

$$R(p, q, k, l) = \frac{e^2}{\kappa} \left[\frac{\epsilon^{ij} k^i p^j (l_0 + q_0)}{2\sqrt{p_0 q_0 k_0 l_0} (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k})^2} + (k \leftrightarrow l) + (p \leftrightarrow q) + (k \leftrightarrow l, p \leftrightarrow q) \right]. \quad (46)$$

In achieving this result we have used

$$\int d\mathbf{x} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_j G(\mathbf{x}) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} = i \frac{\epsilon^{ij} k^j}{\mathbf{k}^2} \quad (47)$$

which can be verified straightforwardly. In the center-of-mass system, we attach a subscript c to any quantity and have

$$\mathbf{l}_c = -\mathbf{k}_c, \mathbf{q}_c = -\mathbf{p}_c, \quad (48a)$$

$$q_{c0} = p_{c0} = l_{c0} = k_{c0}. \quad (48b)$$

It is easy to show that

$$\sigma_c = \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{1}{2\pi |\mathbf{k}_c|} \int_0^\pi d\theta_c \cot^2 \theta_c \quad (49)$$

where the upper bound of integration is π instead of 2π because the two particles are identical. Note that $d\sigma_c/d\theta_c$ is singular at $\theta_c = 0$ and $\theta_c = \pi$. The total cross section is divergent, which implies that the interaction is a long-range one. In the laboratory system, we may choose $\mathbf{l} = 0$, and thus $l_0 = m$. Eq.(44a) then reduces to

$$\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{k} \quad (50a)$$

from which one finds

$$\frac{\partial q_0}{\partial p_0} = \frac{p_0}{q_0} \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{p}^2} \right). \quad (51)$$

Using Eq.(44b) which for the present case reduces to

$$p_0 + q_0 = k_0 + m, \quad (50b)$$

together with (50a) and the on-shell relations we obtain

$$\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} = (k_0 + m)(p_0 - m). \quad (52)$$

Substituting (52) into (51) we have

$$\frac{\partial q_0}{\partial p_0} = \frac{p_0(p_0 - k_0)}{q_0(p_0 + m)}. \quad (53)$$

Using (50b) once again we arrive at

$$\frac{\partial(p_0 + q_0)}{\partial p_0} = \frac{m(k_0 + m)}{q_0(p_0 + m)}. \quad (54)$$

We also find that

$$R = \frac{e^2}{\kappa} \frac{\epsilon^{ij} k^i p^j}{2\sqrt{p_0 q_0 k_0 m}} \frac{p_0 - q_0}{(p_0 - m)(k_0 - p_0)}. \quad (55)$$

Substituting (54) and (55) into (43) we get

$$\sigma = \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{1}{8\pi m^2} \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{k_0 + m} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta \frac{(p_0 + m)^2 (2p_0 - k_0 - m)^2 \sin^2 \theta}{(p_0 - m)(p_0 - k_0)^2}. \quad (56)$$

It should be remarked that the upper bound of integration in (56) is $\pi/2$. This can be easily justified by taking notice of (52) which implies $\cos \theta \geq 0$ and hence $-\pi/2 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2$, and remembering the fact that the two particles are identical. The result (56) is not the final one. Given a θ , $\mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{q}$ are completely fixed by (50) and the on-shell relations. Therefore one should manage to solve p_0 in k_0 and θ . From (52) it is not difficult to find that

$$p_0 = \frac{m[m \sin^2 \theta + k_0(1 + \cos^2 \theta)]}{k_0 \sin^2 \theta + m(1 + \cos^2 \theta)}. \quad (57)$$

Substituting (57) into (56) we arrive at the final result

$$\sigma = \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{1}{4\pi m |\mathbf{k}|} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta \frac{[(k_0 + m) \sin^2 \theta - 2m \cos^2 \theta]^2}{\sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta [(k_0 + m) \sin^2 \theta + 2m \cos^2 \theta]}. \quad (58)$$

The singular points of $d\sigma/d\theta$ are $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi/2$, corresponding to $\theta_c = 0$ and $\theta_c = \pi$, respectively (see Eq.(63)).

Although explicit Lorentz invariance is lost in the Hamiltonian formalism, the theory is Lorentz invariant in its original form. Therefore one naturally expects the result

$$\sigma = \sigma_c. \quad (59)$$

To verify this, one must find the relation between θ and θ_c . By means of the relations $k \cdot l = k_c \cdot l_c$, $p \cdot l = p_c \cdot l_c$, and $p \cdot k = p_c \cdot k_c$ and (48) one finds

$$|\mathbf{k}_c| = \sqrt{\frac{m(k_0 - m)}{2}}, \quad (60)$$

$$|\mathbf{p}| \cos \theta = \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{2}(1 + \cos \theta_c). \quad (61)$$

Noting that $\mathbf{p}_\perp$ (the component of $\mathbf{p}$ in the direction perpendicular to $\mathbf{k}$) has the same value in the two systems one has

$$|\mathbf{p}| \sin \theta = |\mathbf{k}_c| \sin \theta_c. \quad (62)$$

On account of these result we obtain

$$\tan \theta = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{k_0 + m}} \tan \frac{\theta_c}{2}. \quad (63)$$

This is the relation between θ and θ_c . When $|\mathbf{k}| \ll m$ it reduces to the nonrelativistic result $\theta_c = 2\theta$. Eqs.(63) and (60) are sufficient to establish Eq.(59).

For antiparticle-antiparticle scattering, the result is completely the same as that of particle-particle scattering. Finally for particle-antiparticle scattering, we have

$$|i\rangle = a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{l}}^\dagger |0\rangle, \quad |f\rangle = a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger |0\rangle. \quad (64)$$

The amplitude is given by (42) with

$$R(p, q, k, l) = \frac{e^2}{\kappa} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{p_0 q_0 k_0 l_0}} \times \left[\frac{(q_0 + l_0)\epsilon^{ij} p^i k^j + (p_0 + k_0)\epsilon^{ij} q^i l^j}{(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k})^2} + \frac{(k_0 - l_0)\epsilon^{ij} p^i q^j + (q_0 - p_0)\epsilon^{ij} k^i l^j}{(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{l})^2} \right]. \quad (65)$$

In the center-of-mass system, the second term in the square bracket is not well-defined. So we begin with $\mathbf{l}_c = -\mathbf{k}_c + \varepsilon \mathbf{k}_c$ and finally let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The result can be easily shown to be

$$\sigma_c = \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{1}{8\pi |\mathbf{k}_c|} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta_c \left[1 + \frac{\mathbf{k}_c^2}{k_{c0}^2} \sin^2 \frac{\theta_c}{2} \right]^2 \cot^2 \frac{\theta_c}{2}. \quad (66)$$

In the laboratory system, we choose $\mathbf{l} = 0$ and find

$$R = \frac{e^2}{\kappa} \frac{\epsilon^{ij} p^i k^j}{2\sqrt{p_0 q_0 k_0 m}} \frac{q_0 + k_0}{(q_0 - m)(k_0 + m)}. \quad (67)$$

Substituting (67) and (54) into (43) yields

$$\sigma = \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{1}{8\pi m^2} \frac{(k_0 - m)^{1/2}}{(k_0 + m)^{5/2}} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\theta \frac{(p_0 + m)^2 (p_0 - m) (2k_0 - p_0 + m)^2 \sin^2 \theta}{(p_0 - k_0)^2}. \quad (68)$$

The range of θ has been explained before. In the present case the two particles are distinguishable, so the integration is performed over the full range of θ . In (68) p_0 depends on k_0 and θ , which can be eliminated by employing (57). The final result turns out to be

$$\sigma = \frac{e^4}{\kappa^2} \frac{4m}{\pi |\mathbf{k}|} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\theta \frac{(k_0 \sin^2 \theta + m \cos^2 \theta)^2 \cot^2 \theta}{[k_0 \sin^2 \theta + m(1 + \cos^2 \theta)]^3}. \quad (69)$$

It is easily seen that $d\sigma/d\theta$ is singular at $\theta = 0$. At $\theta = \pm\pi/2$, however, it vanishes. This means that the incident particle can not transfer all its kinetic energy to the antiparticle, and might imply that there exists some attractive force between the particle and the antiparticle. The total cross section is again divergent. Two-body potentials among particles and antiparticles are under investigation, which will exhibit the property of the interaction force more explicitly. Using Eqs.(60) and (63), we once again achieve the result (59). If there exists some system of scalar particles with pure Chern-Simons interaction, one may examine the results (58) and (69) by experiments. Extension of the present work to the Chern-Simons theory interacting with a spinor field is currently under progress. Higher-order corrections to the cross sections are also being studied. Results will be reported subsequently.

The author thanks Professor Qi-zhou Chen and Professor Guang-jiong Ni for their encouragement. This work was supported by the Doctoral Foundation of the National Education Commission of China.

-
- [1] C. R. Hagen, Ann. Phys. **157** (1984) 342.
- [2] J. Hong, Y. Kim, and P. Y. Pac, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64** (1990) 2230; R. Jackiw and E. J. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64** (1990) 2234.
- [3] R. Jackiw, K. Lee, and E. J. Weinberg, Phys Rev. D **42** (1990) 3488.
- [4] R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64** (1990) 2969; Phys. Rev. D **42** (1990) 3500.
- [5] Z. F. Ezawa, M. Hotta, and A. Iwazaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67** (1991) 411; **67** (1991) 1475(E); R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67** (1991) 415.
- [6] R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. D **44** (1991) 2524; Q.-G. Lin, Phys. Rev. D **48** (1993) 1852.
- [7] G. W. Semenoff, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61** (1988) 517; **63** (1989) 1026; C. R. Hagen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63** (1989) 1025.
- [8] R. Banerjee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992) 17; **70**(1993)3519; C. R. Hagen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** (1993) 3518.
- [9] P. A. M. Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics* (Yeshiva University, New York, 1964).
- [10] D. Boyanovsky, E. T. Newman, and C. Rovelli, Phys. Rev. D **45** (1992) 1210.
- [11] Q.-G. Lin and G.-J. Ni, Class. Quantum Grav. **7** (1990) 1261.
- [12] S. N. Gupta, *Quantum Electrodynamics* (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1977).